1895
(L.R.)

File No: 279 of 95
Serial No: 1-25

8:74

Sub:— Dispute regarding the Shanbhogi of Lingambudi & other villages in the Mysore Taluk.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1895—

191 .

(L.R)

File No. 279 of 95

Serial Nos. 1—25

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—25

Major ~~Part~~ B for May, 1902.

SUBJECT.

Dispute regarding the Shanbhogi of Lingambudhi & other Villages in the Mysore Taluk.

Sl: 25. Diary No 14823 / Pet 439 - 997. Dated 17.5.02. from D.C. Mysore

Reports on the petitions from the Shanbhoge Govindaya & M.K.

Correct & attached

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-18

Notice 1

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 114 of 1895-96

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.s.
Govindaiya		1. Timmanna 2. Subbaiya

Appellant & 2nd Respt served.
1st Respondent not served.
26. 11. 96.

Appt & 2d. Respt present. Notice to 1st Respt ordered & received
Case Adjourned to 10th Dec 1896.
The parties present have been told to attend on the adjourned date of hearing.

26/11/96

Noticed to 1st Respt
27/11

1st respondent present. Appellant & 2nd respondent absent. ~~Order~~ Order confirmed

10/12/96

2

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 114 of 1895-96.

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondents.
Govindaiya		1. Linganna (Guide of Govindaiya)
		2. Subbaiya (Gumasta)

Dispute regarding the Shanbhogi of Linganmakki and other villages in the Mysore Taluk.

List of papers.

(1) Copy of Dy Commr's Order appealed against
(2) Appeal petition
(3) Official Memorandum
(4) Deputy Commr's report 1-8-97. S. 96.
(5) Translation of appellant's petition & 17. ll. 83, and office note, & orders thereon.
(6) Report of the Asst Comr Mysore. 28.
(7) Dy Commrs further report 2-11-97.

Vernacular Records.

Gowndaya's claim contention is that the Board and is still in his name & that Gowndaya the late husband of Annamma did not sub up to the terms of this agreement. Annamma who has appeared states that she is willing to pay the balance of 325 rupees made to him fully as provided for in the agreement relied upon by Gowndaya & Petitioner He appears old and infirm and there is no reason to refuse the title which after it has once passed away to the Complainant's family — In addition to Annamma the deceased Gowndaya has also children, and an infant daughter — In view of these circumstances the Sub Court's order regarding Annamma as Shareholder is correct. The Civil Revision Petition however is dismissed with costs that the sum of Rs 325 agreed to by Annamma.

10-12-56

V.V.S.

(7)

4

Deposition of Govindayya
son of Gopiah;
a Smartha Brahman:
age - 51 years; and
residing at Allyoor, Kusba.

Dated 17th Nov. 1853

Gopiah, the Shanbogue
of the 8 villages, viz:
1 Kuigambudhi, 2 Halagala,
3 Yajjalmahuri, 4 Kuttura-
hully 5 Malalavady
6 Hattagalli, 7 Chicka-
-rai mahully 8. Sakadara-
-hura, is my own son.
He has been suffering
from some sickness and
is unable to discharge
the duties of the Shanbogue
in consequence. He has
therefore resigned the
appointment in favour
of my uncle's son Thim-
-appa and the latter's son
Govindiah - and has
no objection to the
bararard being -

being transferred to the name of and the duties of Rambagan being caused to be discharged by Govindayya.

(sd) Govindiah.

Taken before me
by 17-11-83
(sd) K. Ramasamiayar

"copy"

By comrs order thereupon, no 333 by 23d Nov 1883.

Govindiah's appointment to act for Gopiah is Sanctioned Protem:— a report shall be made when accounts have been settled.

(sd) Abdul Khader
by comr.

On receipt of the above an order was issued to Annamalay the Govindiah (decd) communicating his appt. as pro tem, to act for Gopiah, and stating that if he succeeded in recovering the arrears & obtained a full statement, a recommendation would be submitted for his confirmation.
(No 799 by 19. 12. 83)

No recommendation was however submitted by the Deputy authorities and no order of confirmation was issued. The Barrack continued to remain in the name of Gopalrao while the duties were being performed by Govindrao (deceased).

In 1885 the present petitioner Govindrao filed a suit in the Addl Munsiff Court, Bijapur, for the recovery of Rs. 80 (Rupees eighty only) from Subbanna & Narana as per the following document executed by Govindrao (dec'd) in favour of pet. on 2-10-83

"As you have to take the Sawaiji Darwaza on rent in lieu of your dry lands we present herewith Rs. 2 and make up the remaining Rs. 3 we shall have to pay hereafter. We shall pay the rent of this year Rs. 5 for dry lands by the end of March next year. Such annual payments of Rs. 5 we shall regularly make until we pay the Rs. 80 principle to you. Upon payment of the principle amount we shall remain in possession of the lands and this document is void."

The Addl Munsiff (Rao Saheb Rao) dismissed the suit (Oct. 1888) holding inter alia that the plaintiff's claim was barred by limitation and that the document was not proved.

The plaintiff appealed before the District Court which reversed

[illegible] several applications during deceased's life time, praying for his appointment to the post — None of these applications is on the file & there is nothing to show whether any such applns were made & how they were disposed of.

The deceased Jamadar was continued to discharge the duties without interruption from Dec! 1883 till on 20th Dec! 1914 - He had a son, who died on 30th Dec. 1914.

Sepoy (Nek Zar) also on the Peshawar list, has moved in G. I. 3, vide notice of the applⁿ made by Pannamma widow of Jamadar, dec^d, for registry of the post in her name. He states that his father had got the post registered in his name during his lifetime but was himself unable to discharge the duties of the post owing to old age & infirmity (the father) could look after the duties himself or get it done by others.

The Sepoy is divided in interest from his father. In a petition dated executed by him in favour of his father & brother (Amir Zar), he relinquished his interest in the Jamadari post and stated he would transfer it to whomsoever they [illegible] nominate.

Sepoy was then a nominal Peshawar list. He is said to be a civil employee in the Peshawar district -

Submitted
[illegible]
28/9/16

Herewith the Undertaking & Declaration executed by the Sepoy in favour of his brother Amir Zar. The Power of Attorney has been made in her name & the Declaration executed by her. The Agreement made for the grant of the post. What is the legal effect of these documents? Should they be registered in the Stamp Act in the record or get them attested?

Dated
the 9.9.16

4/11/16

Draft sent
Nov 29/16

Submitted
The dec. is reported to be in the above case is in the file. Please see No 7 ref. to in the file.
NLL
28/10/16



Appeal petition

Court's order declining

to appoint the petr as

Landlord.

In time & duly stamped

Submitted to the 2nd

Court

Hon'ble

20/2/96

Case referred

to Amin and

report called

24/2/96

Amin's report

to

2/3/96

1 1895-96 (2)

No. 258

Name of Petitioner, Gowdaiya

Shanbogue of the village

Village, Ungavanahalli Hobli

Taluk, Mysore

District, Mysore

Date of Inst. 12-2-96

Date of Receipt 14-2-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

Appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District declaring that the Shanbogueship of the village of the Ungavanahalli Hobli Mysore Taluk

The order appealed against is as follows:-

"Since the disputants for the Shanbogueship made a deposition 12 years ago recognising the hereditary favour of Gowdaiya the petitioner was conferred upon him and he has been serving after the post and there consequently [illegible]

has been appointed temporarily
to look after the work by
the consent of the applicant
on behalf of the applicants'
son, because on account of
his illness he was unable
to perform the duties
entrusted on condition
that the deceased should
pay annually Rs 40/- to the
applicant for his maintenance

3 That the deputy commissioner
is wrong in holding that
the office of the Shanbogue
in question belongs to
deceased Govindaiya.

4 That it is very clear from
the order of the deputy
commissioner passed in
1883 that that the deceased
Govindaiya was appointed
to the office temporarily.

5 That the records of the
Civil Courts clearly shows
that the deceased has failed
to maintain the applicant
as agreed and is such
he is not entitled to the

been appointed to the post and in his place
work should be taken from the
Convicts nominated by her.

Bun.

1872.

(2)

Enel.



In the Court of the Civil & Sessions Judge
to H. H. the Maharaja
of
Mysore

A.S. 114 of 1895-96.

The humble petition of Gangiah Chetty of the village Kyganahalli Hobli Mysore Taluk residing in N. Ramanuja Street Devaraja Mohalla Mysore.

The petitioner begs to appeal to this honourable Court against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District dated 27-12-95 7-1-96 for the following reasons, and many other reasons, namely:-

1 That the office of Thandyar-Chief of the village Kyganahalli Hobli deceased the appellant and his son who is a coparcener.

2 That the deceased Gangia

Mack in question.

5

6 That the applicant has requested the authorities by means of several applications during the legal time of the accused to appoint him to the office because the accused has failed to provide him as per his contract.

7 That the applicant is legally entitled to hold the office of Chairman in question.

8 That the order of the Deputy Commissioner in appointing the accused Govindaiah to the office is illegal & so because he has been confirmed on him.

9 That the order of the Deputy Commr is opposed to law & probabilities and he has not properly appreciated the documentary evidence

7. For these reasons the applicant most respectfully begs that

this honourable Court may be
pleased to set aside the order
of the Deputy Commissioner
and file may be transmitted to
the office in question.

12/2/96

(by [illegible])

Section
File No
Serial No

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 2 dated 12-2-96 received at the Dewan's Office from Revenue A. Ramanna Govindaraja Shetty, Sowcar & Shanbhog of the village of Kinganahalli Hobli Mysore Taluk, appealing against the Mysore Deputy Comr's order regarding his claim to the Shanbhogship of the villages in the Kinganahalli Hobli is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
3 - 3 - 1896.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

Section

File No

Serial No

8

1896

No: 3354

Name of Petitioner,

Village,

Taluk,

District,

Date of Arzi, 22-3-96

Date of Receipt, 30-3-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Endorsement No. 2880 dated 2-3-96 passed on the petition of 12-2-96 regarding the Removal of the Inamdars Police rights over the Inam villages and to state whether petition cannot be dealt with without hearing them personally and to say that he may be heard in person or his duly authorized agent may appear

Nil

2/4

Linganbudi to other village.

9-7-96.

(18)

4151

(19)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
NO. 4157.R
DATE 30-6-96

3354

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
RECD. No. 3354 R
DATE 30-3-96

1592

Ref. 2880 of 95-96.

Shimoga 3-97 7-3-96

Reference to

4/7 Draft a/c under time.

Dy. Com.

Section
File No
Serial No

No. 4157

Name of Petitioner, Govindra

Village, Hosahalli

Taluk, Krishnarajapet

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 27-6-96

Date of Receipt, 30-6-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure,

ABSTRACT.

The petitioner with reference to the Endorsement No 2080 stating that the Dy. Com^r has been called upon to report on the matter, begs to state that although he represented his grievances several times the Dy. Com^r has not yet reported to Govt. up to this time. The petitioner begs that Govt. may pass early orders to the Dy. Com^r to report on the affair & settle the right of petitioner to the Shanbhogship.

Gen. 30.]

1896

From

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore,

To

The Deputy Commissioner & Mysore District

Dated 6 July 1896.

Despatched 8

Received

General No. Pet 1597

No. 36

Enclosure No.

This Office No. Pet 1597 dated 3/13/1896 calling for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case of Govindaiya residing in Ramanuja Street, Devaraja Mohalla, Mysore, appealing against the Mysore Dy Commr's order regarding his claim to the Shanbhogship of the villages in Heriganahalli Hobli Mysore Taluk ~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted~~.

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By order,

A.S.
M/Draft for ~~Under~~ Secretary.

M.G.C.P.B—20-9-94—1000

Blue
6/7.

(23) 16

in reporting upon the matter stated that th
the barawand stood in the name of the pe
it was clear from the record that both he a
his son Gopi'a had resigned the Shanbhogsh
in favor of the deceased in 1883. He further stat
that the deceased held the Shanbhogin office f
about 12 years in his own right and not as th
gumasta of the petitioner, and recommended
that the widow of the deceased, Thimmamm a
might be registered as Shanbhog. As the record
showed that the relinquishment of the Shanbho
-ship by the petitioner and his son in favor of
the deceased Govindayya in 1883, was unconditiona
and as the alleged agreement between the
parties as to the payment of Rs40 per annum
to the petitioner was a purely private transaction
I approved of the Amildar's proposal, and
directed the name of the widow to be registere
in place of her husband. The petitioner now
appeals to Government against this order.

4. The petition received with the memo
under reply, and the vernacular record
bearing on the subject are herewith submitted

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant,
[signature]
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Comptr Gen

submitted with reference to the Rev. Councillor's order on the abstract of petn 2880 of 12-2-96, with translation of Rev. Govindaiya's depn & office note.

26/8/96

4/9

36/

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 1428
Pet No. 1897
21 AUG. 96 · 97
DEWAN'S OFFICE

3

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and General Departmen

Dated 17th August 1896
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. Petition No
with its Engn.
vernacular

No. 348

Reporting on the petition of
Govindaiya claiming the sha
ship of Lingambudi & 7 othe
villages of the Mysore Taluk

Petition M
21/8/96

Rec'd 26/8

Subd with refces.

26/8

(3)

No. 545
Enclosures No. Petn with
in 12 and Memorandum
Record.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 7th August 1896.

Section
File No
Serial No

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your official Memorandum No. Pet 1597 dated the 3d March 1896, and reminder No Pet 360 dated the 6th July 1896) forwarding for report the petition of Govindayya of Mysore regarding his claim to the Shanbhogship of Lingambudi and seven other villages of the Mysore district, I beg to state as follows:-

1. Lingambudi
2. Kalagala
3. Agasiankunte
4. Kukkarahalli
5. Malalavadi
6. Kottegalli
7. Chikkarandahalli
8. Sakkadevanapura.

2. One Gopala, who was the hereditary Shanbhog of the marginally noted 8 villages of the Lingambudi hobli in the Mysore district, made an application to the Amildar on the 17th November 1893 stating that he was unable by reason of his ill-health to work after his duties and that therefore he was not willing to hold the office of Shanbhog any longer. He prayed that his senior uncle's pensioned Amildar Anantayyá son Govindayya, might be registered as Shanbhog in his place and the work taken from him. The

petitioner, who is the father of this Gopayya, was a party to this arrangement and had expressed in clear terms his assent to the resignation of the office on the part of his son (vide his letter dated the 1st Nov: 1883). The Amildar reported the matter to the Deputy Commissioner who sanctioned the arrangement and by an order dated the 22nd November 1883 appointed Govindayya, a substitem Shanbhog in place of Gopala, resigned. Govindayya discharged the Shanbhogin duties of three villages to the satisfaction of his superiors from the date of the above order up to the date of his death. He died on the 20th December 1894 leaving behind him a daughter and a widow as his legal representatives.

3. On the death of Govindayya, the petitioner presented an application to the Amildar stating that the deceased Govindayya had been nominated by him to look after the Shanbhogi duties on condition of his paying him Rs. 20 annually, that the condition not having been fulfilled by the deceased he, the petitioner, had filed a suit and obtained a decree against him, and that as he is the hereditary Shanbhog, he alone has the right to hold the office. The Amildar

in

References

1896

No. 720.96.

Name of Petitioner, Govindiah

Village, Kristnapillaa Agrahara

Taluk, Mysore

District, Mysore.

Date of Arzi, 27th August 96.

Date of Receipt, 27th August 96.

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, Nil.

ABSTRACT.

States that he addressed two Petitions dt 22.8.96 and 27.6.96 respectively to this office, reminding of his former petition referred to this office Endorsement No 2550, regarding the Channapatna Tank, and prays for orders for the same.

Section

File No

Serial No

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 7207
DATE 24-8-96

720 ಗುರುವಾರ ತಾ. 24/8/96

7

ಸೈಸೂರಾಜ್ಯದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವಾ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಅನುಭವವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೧ — ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೨ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೩ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೪ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೫ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೬ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೭ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೮ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೯ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೧೦ — ನಾನು ಸೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಹದಿನೈದು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಾಲ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರವಾಗಿ ಸ್ವಂತ ಉದ್ಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಜೀವನ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜೀನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿರುವುದು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿದ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

1896

No. 1232 C.No.102

Name of Petitioner Sovinda - Hakkar Chauth

Village Residing in A. Ramanna's Ariel - Devarajmohalla

Taluk Mysore

District

Date of Arzi 12 - 10 - 96

Date of Receipt 19 - 10 - 96

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

Section

File No

Serial No

29

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Endorsement No. 2880 framed on his petition of 12-2-96 regarding the Patels Chanbhoji of Kempambudi, Kakkra & other villages of Mysore Taluk - intimating its despatch to the Dy. Comr. for Enquiry & the receipt states that deceased Govinda had promised him and Executed a Covenant to support him, he for the office Brass ferrule only in his name but did not receive it in his favor and died of his decease that when the Amildar wrote to the Dy. C. for the Sanction of the Brass for he learnt that the said deceased Govinda had Cherished bad motives to deceive him by not fulfilling the Covenant - therefore he represented to Hon. Board

are of your Lordship who opposed a Rukkam
being the appointment of the said deceased
Govindia as an acting one - that on his
behalf filed a Suit in the Civil Court against
the said Govindia for not paying him
the maintenance allowance - that the case
was decided in his favor - that thereupon
the said Govindia preferred an appeal -
that the District Judge decided the appeal
in the petitioners favor. that the District
Judge had made an observation about
the ungratefulness of the said Govindia
in the present - that in spite of all this
the Amildar, Rajamundhri recommended
on the death of the said Govindia - that the office
of the office may be given to Govindia's wife Seetamma's
name - that the Karnam of the office is
ill in bed. Son of office's name - and that
Caution has been given to the above
recommendation of the Amildar.
Prays that on perusal of the facts
his petition may be disposed of
Equitably -

MK
2/10

B

(30)

91 (Encl 1 Cover)

Draft



The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 28th Sept. 1896

No. 3529/P.541 & 30.9.96

His letter no 348 dated 17th August 1896 reporting on the petition of Govindayya claiming the Shanbhogi of Linganbudi and seven other villages in the Mysore Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honour to request that the Deputy Commr. will be so good as to report what arrangements have been made for the conduct of the Shanbhogi work of the villages in question and what the Potgi of these villages is.

The records received with the above are returned for reference & resubmission.

By order

Draft
2

30/9

for Secretary

KBL
28/9/96

Recalcitrant?

MEMORANDUM
GOVERNMENT

Madras, the 9th August 1946
From
The Secretary to Government,
Public (Services) Department.
To
The Secretary to the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue),
Madras.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a petition dated 12th July 1946 addressed to the Governor in Council by Thiru [illegible] of [illegible] praying that he may be appointed to the post of Village Munsif of [illegible] and to request that you will be good enough to report whether the petitioner is eligible for appointment to the post.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
[illegible]
Secretary to Government.

---

೧ — ಲೂಯ್ಸ್ ಳಳಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ - ಲೂಯಾನ್ನನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾರಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸ್ಥಳಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ

541



Ref No. 1232 of 12-10-96
With Abstract
Petition -
M
11/11/96

Section
File No. 16 7
Serial No. To

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Recd 12/11
Submitting the annual return of Inam cases disposed of and concerned
Recd 13/11/96

Dated 2nd November 1896.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. Circulars
Papers —

No. 782

Reporting the arrangement made for the enquiry of the Shanbhogi work of Yiganambadi and other villages in the Mysore taluk and what the Patte of these villages is.

14-11-96.
Mr Shrivastava
M 14/11/96

Posted for 26th Nov 96.
Issue Notice
M 14/11/96

Petition Branch
7-11-96
M 7/11

Recd 10/11
Papers sent up for Orders in 1232 of 1896. Abstract & Notice issued
M 10/11
10/11 Submitted - With Ref: to 28 Councillor's order on Memo under date 24-9-96 - Please see paper no. 7 ibid. file for the document referred to
M 11/11/96.

No. 782

Enclosures No. Revenue papers -

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT.
Dated Chamarajapatna 2nd Nov 1894.

No

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your circular No 3524 / Rev. 541 dated the 30th September 1894, enquiring what arrangement has been made for connecting the Shambhagi work of Linganbudi and other villages in the Mysore taluk claimed by Coorgah, and what the rights of these villages is, I beg to state that Subbayya the Shambhagi of the neighbouring village of Bogadi, who had been temporarily appointed by the Amildar to look after the work, pending the appointment of a successor, is reported to be

still

2

still in charge of the work. The
Postage of these Villages is Rs 100-12-"
per annum.

2. The Vernacular correspondence
received with the docket annexed
reference is herewith retransmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obed.t Servant,

W. Wilkinson
Deputy Commissioner
of the Tezpore District

Compd
Ully

OFFICE
14 NOV. 96
Section
File No
Serial No

ನಂಬರ್ 6396

ಪುಜಾರುಗಿನಾಡ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ,

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅನುಪದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಆದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸನ್ 1895-96 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಆರ್ಡರ್ 114 ನೇ ನಂಬರು ನೋಕದ್ದರೆಯು ಕೃಷ್ಣಗಾರರು ಮಾಪಣ್ಣನ್ನಿಗೆ ನಪೊಂಡಾಮನರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ಕರಾರಾದ ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಇದರ ಹಿಂದುರಹಾ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ನಾವನ ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

1. ಕೊಂಡಿ ನಿಷ್ಠ ದೊಡ್ಡಿಗೆ
2. ಕಿಸ್ಟಲ್ಲ.

ಬತಾರೀಕು 14 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ನವೆಂಬರ್ 1896 ನೇ

ಇಸವಿ, ವರೆಯಾಗಾ [illegible]

By order,

[illegible]

for Revenue Secretary.

23.11.96

14 NOV. 96

ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ತಹಜೀದಾರ್ ದಿವಾಣಿ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

189 5—96 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಕೆಸನ್ನು ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು ...1/14...

ಅಪೀಲು ಪಾರ್ಟಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಗಳು—

1 -

2 -

ವಿಷಯ.

ಮೇಲಿಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ 2 ನೇ ಗೆ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪಾರ್ಟಿ ನೇ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಅಪೀಲು 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಾಗಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಸೂರ್ಯಾಸ್ತ 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು 14 ನೇ ನವಂಬರ್ 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ.

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ

By Order,

for Revenue Secretary.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

14 NOV. 96

ನೋಟೀಸ್.

33

ಕುಜಾರ್ ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1896.--96.ನೇ ಅಸಲು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು ... 114 ...

ಅಪೀಲು ಪಾದಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು

1.

2.

ವಿಷಯ.

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ———— ಗೆ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪಾದಿ ———— ನು

ತಿಳ್ಸಿಕ್ಕುವ ಹೆನ್ಯೂಸು ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇರೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇದ್ದುವ

ಅಪೀಲು 1896 ನೇ ಅಸಲು ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 26 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಹೊಸ್ಕೋಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಹೊಸಕ್ಕೋಟೆಯನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಸಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಸ್ವಾಮ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು 14 ನೇ ನವಾಬ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 1896 ನೇ ಅಸಲು.

ಹೊಸಕ್ಕೋಟೆ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳಿಗೆ—

By Order,

For Revenue Secretary.

ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1895—96 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 114.....

ಅಪೀಲು ಪಾರ್ಟಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಗಳು—

1

2

ವಿಷಯ.

ಮೇಲಿಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ/ಗಳಿಗೆ ——— ಗೆ.

ಅಪೀಲು ದಾರಿ ——— ನು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ರೆವ್ಯೂ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಬೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಅಪೀಲು 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 26 — ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಸಿವಿಕ್ಯಾಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ನಡೆಸಲ್ಪಡುವದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಸೂರ್ಯೋದ್ಯ 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಆ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 14 ನೇ ಜನವರಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ — 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

For Revenue Secretary.

14 NOV. 96

ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಕಮೀಷನರ್ ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಕಮಿಷ್ಯೂ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು ... 114

ಅಪೀಲು ನಾಮಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಸ್ವೀಕಾರಾರ್ಥಿ

1.

2.

ವಿಷಯ.

ಮೇಲೆ ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲುನಾಮಿ ಗೆ

ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಿದ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೊಸಕೋಟೆಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿ 12 ನೇ ಮಂಗಳವಾರದ ಅಪೀಲು ಜರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು, 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನವೆಂಬರ್ 26 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಹತ್ತು ಗಂಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಪೂರ್ವಾಹ್ಣ 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ತಾರೀಖು 14 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ನವೆಂಬರ್ 1896

ನೇ ಇಸವಿ, ಹೊಸಕೋಟೆ

By Order,

for Revenue Secretary.

OFFICE OF
27 NOV. 96
DEWAN OF MYSORE
Section
File No
Serial No

ನಂಬರ್ 70

ಹುಜೂರುನಿರ್ವಾ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಆದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸಕ್ 1895-96 ನೇ ಅಸಲಿ ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ ಆರ್ಡರ್ 114 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ನೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯು ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಜಿ-ನ್ಯಾಯಿ ನಂಜುಂಡಾಚಾರರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಲೆಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆಸಿದ್ದ ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಇದರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಹಿಂದೆಯಾ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾಬಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಆ ಆಳ್ಳೂಸಿಗೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 27 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ನವೆಂಬರ್ 1896 ನೇ

ಇಸವಿ ದರಖಾಸ್ತು

By order,

For Personal Secretary.

29-11-96

(50)

Original Karte

OFFICE
27 NOV. 96
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯೇಟ್

41

ಹುಜೂರ್ ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1895--96 ನೇ ಅಸಾ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 114....

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ವಿಷಯ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ———— ಗೆ

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ ———— ನು

ದಿನ್ನು ಕು ಸೈನ್ಯ ಸಹಿವಾನರವರ ಜುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುವಾಗಿ ಇರುವ ಅಪೀಲು 1896 ನೇ ಅಸಾ ———— 10 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ———— ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರ್ಣಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಸ್ವಾನ್ಯಾನ್ನ 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀನು ಆ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗ ಬೇಕು.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 27 ನೇ ನವಾಂಬರ್ ———— 1896 ನೇ ಅಸಾ;

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ————

By Order,

For Revenue Secretary.

[illegible] ceased.

The karavard continued to remain in the name of Gopaiya, while the duties were being performed by Govindaiya. The latter having, after paying Rs 275- to the Petitioner ~~Appellant~~, failed to make the annual payment for two years, according to the terms of the agreement, the Petitioner ~~appellant~~ filed a Civil suit and obtained a decree against him for Rs80. On the death of Govindaiya in December 94, his widow Timmāmma (Counter Petitioner ~~Respt~~) and also the Petitioner Govindaiya ~~appellant~~ claimed the office, and the Deputy Commr ordered the former to be appointed in place of the deceased, the duties being performed by her gumasta. Hence this appeal by Govindaiya

(54)

P.

Re 6103/P.1045 27.1.97 Decision of Govt.
Encl: 1+1 Ver: file (records checked).

Govindaiya's chief contention

is that the pattadar is still
in his name, and that Govin
daiya the late husband of
Timmamma did not act
up to the terms of his agree-
ment. Timmamma who
appeared at the time of hearing
stated that she was willing
to pay up the balance, namely,
Rs 325/-, fully, as provided for
in the agreement relied
upon by Govindaiya Petitioner.
He appears old and infirm,
and there is no reason to
restore the title to him after
it has once passed away
to the Counter Petitioner's family.
In addition to Timmamma,
the deceased Govindaiya seems to have left
two brothers and an infant
daughter. In view of these
circumstances the Deputy
Commr's order registering
Timmamma as Shanbhog
is correct. The Deputy Commr
may

(6)

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read:—

(1) Petition of appeal No 114 of 1896-96 presented by Govindaja of Mysore, against the order of the Deputy Commr of Mysore dated 27th December 96, regarding the Shanbhogi of Lingambudhi and other villages in the Mysore Taluk.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No 782 dated 2nd November 96 from the Deputy Commr, Mysore District, submitting certain information ~~called~~ for relating to the Shanbhogi in question.

Facts of the case.

The Shanbhogi vatan of Lingambudhi and other villages, which was originally in the name of ~~Petitioner~~ Govindaja has,

Section
File No
Serial No

No. 2880 Dt: 1

Section
File No
Serial No

57

at his request, transferred
to the name of his son Gopaiya.
He held the office for some
time, and in a partition deed
executed by him in favour of
his father and brother, agreed
to get the office vacated, resign
the office in favour of any person
they might name. In November
1853, Gopaiya presented a petition
to the Collector expressing his
inability to discharge the duties
of the office, resigned the office
in favour of his cousin Govindaya
who had previously executed a
document agreeing to pay the
petitioner Rs 40 per annum,
until the amount should be paid
until the said Rs 40 in two
instalments. Petitioner accordingly consented
to the transfer of the office to Govindaya.
The Sy Comm^r appointed the
latter to act for Gopaiya
and ordered, and directed the
Amildar to make a strict report
after the accounts of the revenue affairs of the Village
were settled. No such report
was made and no order of

L96

may, however, see that the sum of Rs 325- is paid to Govindaiya as agreed to by Timmamma.

Draft- MR

C243 For Secretary

7/1/97

To The Deputy Commr, Mysore District with recommendation and copy of order granted to the Petitioner

D.V. 6/4/97

Pet (sd), C.S. 21/1/97

References

(80) V.R.T.

19/1/97

£ 26/1

2880 of 95

Revenue No 107930-3-96.

1045

1897 57

No. 2355

Name of Petitioner Gurunathaya Bathara Kamathaya

Village residing in Rāmanāthapura

Taluk Devarayapatna

District Mysore.

Date of Arzi 16-1-97

Date of Receipt 18-1-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosures nil.

Section

File No

Serial No

Pet fees one pound

£ 29/1

Sealed

£ 30/1/97

Nothing is said about "annuity" in the Govt order. Is this a renewal pet? File.

30/1/97

ABSTRACT.

With reference to Govt order No 2880 of 95 nil (not produced) directing the Dep Comr to order the grant to Pet the sum of Rs 325/ according to the Karar come to at the time of the examination of Rāmanāthapura, Pet prays that orders may be issued to the Dep Comr that the annuity of Rs 325 may be satisfied from the Govt amount.

RL.
25/1/97

MYSORE DEPOSIT
DATE 18-1-97

ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲ ಕಳೆದ ನಂತರ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಕ್ಕಳಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಕೊರಗು ಕಾಡಲಾರಂಭಿಸಿತು. ಅವರು ಅನೇಕ ಕಡೆ ಹರಕೆ ಹೊತ್ತರು. ಆದರೂ ಮಕ್ಕಳಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೊನೆಗೆ ಅವರು ಒಂದು ಮಗುವನ್ನು ದತ್ತು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದರು. ಅವರ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಗಂಡು ಮಗು ಇತ್ತು. ಅದನ್ನು ದತ್ತು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವರು ಬಯಸಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಆ ಮಗುವಿನ ತಂದೆ-ತಾಯಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಒಪ್ಪಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ಅವರು ಒಂದು ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮಗುವನ್ನು ದತ್ತು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರು. ಆ ಮಗುವಿಗೆ ಅವರು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಎಂದು ಹೆಸರಿಟ್ಟರು. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಅವರ ಮನೆಗೆ ಬಂದ ನಂತರ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಗಂಡು ಮಗು ಜನಿಸಿತು. ಅವರು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಎಂದು ಹೆಸರಿಟ್ಟರು. ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಮತ್ತು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಒಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಬೆಳೆದರು. ಅವರಿಬ್ಬರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪಾರ ಪ್ರೀತಿ ಬೆಳೆಯಿತು. ಅವರು ಒಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಒಬ್ಬರು ಇರಲಾರದಷ್ಟು ಆತ್ಮೀಯರಾದರು. ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಮತ್ತು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಬೆಳೆದು ದೊಡ್ಡವರಾದರು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆಯ ವಯಸ್ಸು ಬಂದಿತು. ಅವರ ತಂದೆ-ತಾಯಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮದುವೆ ಮಾಡಲು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ಮತ್ತು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ಒಪ್ಪಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಒಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಒಬ್ಬರು ಇರಲಾರದಷ್ಟು ಆತ್ಮೀಯರಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರು ಮದುವೆಯಾಗಲು ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿದರು. ಅವರ ತಂದೆ-ತಾಯಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಆದರೆ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ

(8)

1897

Petn Branch.
(Sd) C.S.
9/4/97.
(Sd) V.R.T
13/4/97.
Reference.
(Sd) V.R.T.
14/4/97

2830 Encl
Returned

Year
1916
Encl

No.	3053
Name of Petitioner	Govindayya Hakkudar Sankaraya A Ramanna's Lane Devaraja Mohalla Mysore
Village / Taluk / District	Mysore
Date of Arrival	April 1897.
Date of Receipt	12/4/97
Date of Disposal	
No. of Enclosure	Nil

Section
File No.
Serial No.

ABSTRACT.

Reminder to his Petitions dated 10th Jany/97, 10th Feby/97 and 10th March/97, praying that out of the Photji amount due to Thimmanna Rs 40 may be deducted and paid to him annually for his maintenance against Rs 325 due to him in accordance with Endt No 2830.

Prays that, as the time for the payment of Photji amount is fast approaching, early order may be issued for the payment of Rs 40 annually.

19/4/97

Refer the memo endorsement (dt. to the endorsement returning No 2830.
The petn being unstamped & being unaccompanied by order of the DC

Comm.) 21/4/97

Encl on petn.

dpr.
22/4/97

26-4-97

57

from the Photographer for his maintenance.

C.E.R.
17/4/97.

Reference
S.V.R.T.
28/3/97

Ag-7/3

2580 of 96 Disposed of by No 6103 & 1045 of 97.

7/1/97

Reply given for Rs. 5555 of 11-2-97.

Secretary to Government

27/3

31/3/97

Return the letter unstamped & unaccompanied under the Second cover.

Sub Collector
Bhd 5/4/97

7/4/97

(9)

1897

55

No. 2830

Name of Petitioner Govinda - Harijan Lingayat

Village } residing at & Ramapur
Taluk } Hubli
District } Dharwar

Date of Arzi 16 - 3 - 97

Date of Receipt 20 - 3 - 97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

Collector

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner on 12-2-96 he submitted a petition against the petitioner & others of this village to the Dharwar Collector praying he would grant him Inam No. 2580 & the effect that the petitioner was not entitled to any Inam & praying Inam and Collector that is pleased to grant the said Inam of Rs. 325 as that the petitioner has been written to that the said Inam might be issued the decision of petitioner - that on 16-1-97, 16-2-97 and 16-3-97 he submitted the petition praying that they may be pleased to grant them the maintenance allowance due to him according to Govt. Order as they were - that from the last twenty years and that no other has any right

File No

Serial No
1339

59

MYSORE DEWAN'S
PETITION DEPA
RECD. NO 1339 R
DATE 28-10-19

Letter and list is a true account of the
family. Regret that I was unable to
obtain more information as to
their descendants. We shall be
glad to hear from you.

RK
24/8/57.

(10)

57

Sulet with enclosures pelns 1368 & 1212.

May these petitions nos 1368, 1212 and 1339 be sent to Collector for report as to what he had has done as regards the concluding portion of Govt order No 6103 & 9.1045 dated 7.1.1897, in re the payment of Rs 325/- to petr by Timmanna as agreed upon by her at the time of hearing.

18/11/01

DC Kadur

22/11/01

Butrup 26/11/01.

190/

No. 1212 & 1339

Name of Petitioner Timmayya & another

Village

Taluk Mysore

District

Date of Arzi 5-10-01 & 22-10-01

Date of Receipt 7-10-01 & 28-10-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

File No.

Serial No. 57

ABSTRACT

With reference to this petitioners appointment Rs 25 dated 7-1-97, the petitioner states that Timmanna has not as yet paid him Rs 325.

He prays that Rs 325 may yearly be deducted out of the Peishi due hereafter to Timmanna for his maintenance.

MGCPB—28-3-01—3,000.

13/11/01

Section
File No
Serial No

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
RECD. ON
DATE

ಮೈಸೂರು ಅಂಚೆಮೇಲೆ ದಿವಾನ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬರೆದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದು

ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನಂಗಳಿಲ್ಲದ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಾಲಿಲ್ಲದ ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅಂಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದು

ಪಟ್ಟಾಭಿಷೇಕಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ವಿಷಯ ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ತಾಜಿಲ್

೬ — ಮೈಸೂರು ಕಾಲ್ಸ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಗಾಂಧಿಯವರ್ಗೆ ಕ್ಯಾನಿಂಗ್ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಾಲ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ
ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲ್ಸ್ ಗೆ ಕಾಲಿಲ್ಲದ ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲ್ಸ್ ಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಾಲ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಾಲ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ
ಪಟ್ಟಾಭಿಷೇಕಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದ ವಿಷಯ ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ತಾಜಿಲ್

೭ — ಜೀವನಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಪ್ರೇಮ ವಾದಕಾರ್ಯಾ — ದಿಢೀರ್ ಕೆಲಸ ಬಂದಾಗ ಅಥವಾ ಪಿಪಿಲಿಕಾಮೀಲ್ಸ್ ಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ
ಬರುವಂತೆ ಪ್ರೇಮ ವಾದಕಾರ್ಯಾ ಅಂದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ

1368

1368R

DATE 30-10-1907

Reference

1212/1901

1339/1901

vide petn 1212 and 1339

1904 (11) 60

No. 1368

Name of Petitioner Gopaladiri, Inamdar

Village

Taluk Mayavaram

District

Date of Arzi 28-10-04

Date of Receipt 30-10-04

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

File No. 2712

Serial No. 15

ABSTRACT

With reference to the Office endorsement No. 2850 dated 7-1-97, the petitioner states that the Dy: Comr has not acted under Sunnamma pay him Rs 325, nor has he been getting the yearly Rs 40 according to the Karar for the last three years.

He prays proceedings to the Dy: Comr to get the Rs 325 paid to him by Sunnamma, to make her pay him Rs 40 a

Reference
18/11/01

1904 64

No. 1272

Name of Petitioner Govindiah hus-dar Shabb

Village residing in Ramanna Street

Taluk Devaraj Mohalla

District Mysore city

Date of Arzi 29-10-01

Date of Receipt 4-11-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

Section

File No

Serial No

ABSTRACT

Write reference to this office endt No. 2880 dated 7.1.97, informing him that the Dy Comr had been written to to recover from Timmanna Rs 328/- as agreed by her and to pay the said amount to pet, he submitted several petns to the Dy Comr who has not as yet, either given him an endorsement or caused the amount to be paid to petr, and that he is therefore unable to produce the Dy Comr's order as per endt No. 2880 dated 5.4.97 of this office

18/11/01

66

Reb 1054 LR (12) 28-11-1907

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

LR 2447

Section
File No
Serial No

(74)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition Registered No. 1212 / 1339 / 1368 dated 8-10-07 / 22-10-07 / 25-10-07 respectively received at the Dewan's Office from Shanbhoga Govindaiya residing in Ramanna's Street, Devaraj Mohalla Mysore, complaining that Linnamma has not been paying to him, Rs 40 per annum as agreed ~~to~~ upon by her,

~~It~~ forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, for ~~disposal.~~ early report as to what he has done as regards the concluding portion of Govt. order No. 6103 / P.1045 of 7-1-97, in re the payment of Rs 325 due to Petr by Linnamma as agreed upon by her at the time of hearing.

By order,

Dft. AB 29/11

for Secretary.

BANGALORE, 28/11/1907

28

SRA 26/11/07.

MYSORE DRAWING OFFICE
REGD. No. 14726
DATE 7-11-1927

67

1900 – 2

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF MYSORE



To The Dy Comm^r Mysore Dt.

Dated 9th Jan 9. 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. Pet
No. 1310

Enclosure No.

This Office No. Pet 1054 dated 23rd November 1901, calling for an early report as to what has been done as regards the concluding portion of Govt Order No. 6133 & 37-C-97. (P. 1045) in re the payment due to the Petr Govindaiya of Mysore, by Timmaraja as agreed upon by them at the time of clearing.

~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted.~~

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

B. Venkoba Rao

for ~~Under~~ Secretary.

Dft

All

7-C-02

1902

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEARTMENTS.

To

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

Mysore DISTRICT.

Section
File No
Serial No

Dated 12-4

Despatched 12. April/02

Received

General No. Pet
No. 1933

Enclosure No.

This Office No. Pet 1054 dated 28.11.190 1 Calling for an early report as to what has been done as regards the concluding portion of Govt Ord No. 6103/1045 dt. 7.1.97 viz the payment of Due to the petr. Govindaiya of Mysore, & Seemamma, as agreed upon by the at the time of hearing

and subsequent reminder ending with— Pet 1618 dt 21.2.02.

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

Draft.

For Secretary.

9/4

MGCPB—2,000—24-2-02.

1900

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF MYSORE



To

The Chief Commr.
Mysore Dett.

Dated 21st

Despatched 22nd Feb/02

Received

General No. Pol
No. 1618

Enclosure No.

This Office No. Pol/354 dated 28th Nov. 1901 calling for an early report as to what he has done as regards the concluding portion of Govt. Ord. No. 6103/1045 dt. 7.1.97 re Re-payment due to the Govt. Govind Raja of Mysore & Trimmaiamma as agreed upon by them at the time of Clearing,

and subsequent reminder ~~marginally noted.~~
No. Pol 131 dt 9.1.02

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

Draft.

For ~~Under~~-Secretary.

19/2

2097.

71 (28)

1901-902.

14823.

Pet 439

Rep. subscp

From H. Ramaniya Iyengar Esq
The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

To H.V. Nanjundayya Esq
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue
Departments.

Dated 17th
Despatched 19 } May 1902
Received

Enclosures No. Three

General No. 997

Reports on the petitions from Shanbogue Govinda-yya residing in Ramanna's street, Devaraja Mohalla, Mysore.

Pet
21.5.02

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

No. 997

Enclosures No. Three

Dated 17th May 1902

(80)

To H. V. Kanakindayya Esq. B.A. Mid
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In adverting to your official memorandum no: Ref 1054 dated 28th November 1901 forwarding the marginally noted petitions from Shanbogue Govindayya residing in Ramanna's street, Devaraj Mohalla, Mysore, and calling for report as to what has been done as regards the concluding portion of Government order no: 6103/P. 1045 dated 7th January 1897 re the payment of Rs 325 due to petitioner by Linimamma as agreed to by her at the time of hearing, I have the honor to state as

× No: 1212 dated 8th October 1901 — do —
No: 1339 dated 22nd — do —
No: 1368 dated 28th —

2nd Reminder

1902 70

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEARTMENTS.

To

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

Mysore DISTRICT.



Dated 12th May 1902

Despatched 14

Received

General No. 81

No. 2097

Enclosure No.

This Office No. Pet 1254 dated

28-11 1901 calling for an early report as to what has been done as regards the concluding portion of Government order No. 6103/1045 Ry-1-97 in re the payment and to the Petn. forwarded with Mysore Dy. Commissioner's agreement upon lay for settlement of enamdars and subsequent reminder ending with— 2nd Petn. 1933 12-4-902.

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply thereto.

By Order,

for Secretary.

12/5/02

MGCPB—2,000—24-2-02.

as follows:-

The Amildar of Mysore reported that Linnamma had failed to pay the sum of Rs 325- referred to in the Government order, and that she had also withheld payment of Rs 40, which she had promised to pay the petitioner annually pending payment of Rs 325.

On the necessary orders being issued from this office to the Amildar, she pleaded her inability to pay Rs 325 in a lump sum, and agreed to Rs 40 being paid to the petitioner out of the potgi due to her annually. The potgi due to her corresponded with the amount due to Govindayya up to the end of Vikari (1899-1900). Govindayya consented to take the amount

amount and to wait the settlement
of his claim for Rs 325. The payment
has been accordingly made, and in view
to obviate further complaints, the —
Builder has been directed to —
continue to pay Rs 40 to the petitioner
out of the Postage due to Linimamma,
without reference to her until she
paid up the sum of Rs 325 in one
lump sum to the petitioner.

The enclosure of your official
memorandum under reply are here —
with returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedt servant

Lt Thomas Macpherson
Assistant Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District